

OFFICIAL BALLOT
GENERAL ELECTION
November 03, 2026
WOODWARD COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

<div>TO VOTE: FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:</div> <div><div>Correct</div><div>Incorrect</div></div>		<div>FOR COMMISSIONER OF LABOR (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ KEVIN WEST REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ KEVIN DAWSON DEMOCRAT</div> <div>☐ MIKE HALL LIBERTARIAN</div>		<div>FOR COUNTY ASSESSOR (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ TIFFANY JOBE DEMOCRAT</div> <div>☐ LAURA BETH CULP LIBERTARIAN</div>	
<div>STRAIGHT PARTY</div> <div>A straight party vote is a vote for all candidates of that party in partisan races.</div>		<div>JUDICIAL RETENTION</div> <div>Vote separately on each Justice or Judge; they are not running against each other.</div>			
<div>STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ REPUBLICAN </div> <div>☐ DEMOCRATIC </div> <div>☐ LIBERTARIAN </div>		<div>FOR INSURANCE COMMISSIONER (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ BOB SULLIVAN REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ CRAIG MacINTYRE DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT</div>	
<div>STATE OFFICERS</div>		<div>FOR CORPORATION COMMISSIONER (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ BRAD BOLES REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ RHONDA EASTMAN DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 1</div> <div>Shail M. JOHN KANE IV of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>	
<div>FOR GOVERNOR (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ MIKE MAZZEI REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ CYNDI MUNSON DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS</div>		<div>SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 4</div> <div>Shail TRAVIS V. JETT of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>	
<div>☐ ORLANDO LYNN BUSH INDEPENDENT</div> <div>☐ ROBERT E BROOKS SR INDEPENDENT</div> <div>☐ JERRY GRIFFIN INDEPENDENT</div>		<div>FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ KEVIN HERN REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ N'KHYLA JASMINE THOMAS DEMOCRAT</div> <div>☐ SEVIER WHITE LIBERTARIAN</div> <div>☐ RON MEINHARDT INDEPENDENT</div> <div>☐ CURTIS STINNETT INDEPENDENT</div>		<div>SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 6</div> <div>Shail DANA KUEHN of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>	
<div>FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ T. W. SHANNON REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ KELLY FORBES DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 03 (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ FRANK D. LUCAS REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ SUZIE BYRD DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>SUPREME COURT DISTRICT 9</div> <div>Shail RICHARD B. DARBY of the OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>	
<div>FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ JON ECHOLS REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ NICK COFFEY DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>LEGISLATIVE, DISTRICT, AND COUNTY OFFICERS</div>		<div>JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS</div>	
<div>FOR STATE TREASURER (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ CINDY BYRD REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ KIEFER PERRY LIBERTARIAN</div>		<div>FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 58 (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ CARL NEWTON REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ ALBERT BORRIE DEMOCRAT</div>		<div>COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS DISTRICT 2</div> <div>Shail ROBERT L. HUDSON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>	
<div>FOR SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (Vote for One)</div> <div>☐ ROBERT FRANKLIN REPUBLICAN</div> <div>☐ JENNETTIE MARSHALL DEMOCRAT</div>					

The following precincts are involved in the
State House District 58 race:

770101	770201	770301
770102	770202	770302
770105		770303
		770304

<div>JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS</div>		<div>STATE QUESTIONS</div>	
<div>COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 1</div> <div>Shall STACIE L. HIXON of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>		<div>STATE QUESTION NO. 845 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 378</div> <div>This measure amends article 7-B, section 3 of the Oklahoma Constitution, changing the Judicial Nominating Commission's structure. Currently appointments are based on congressional districts as constituted in 1967. If approved, appointments to the fifteen-member Commission will be as follows: 1) Governor appoints six members, including one from each congressional district as of the time of their appointment; 2) the Oklahoma Bar Association appoints six members, including one from each congressional district as of the date of selection; and 3) no less than eight members select three at-large members. The measure will permit any number of the commissioners to be licensed attorneys in Oklahoma or another state. The prohibition against immediate family members from practicing law will be removed. The measure changes terms so that at-large commissioners would serve a two-year term, and all other commissioners would serve a six-year term. Additionally, it removes restrictions on political party membership for members appointed by the Governor and at-large members. The measure also permits a commissioner to succeed himself or herself. Vacancies will be filled in the same manner as a commissioner is appointed or selected for the remainder of the relevant term. This measure will not have a fiscal impact.</div> <div>☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES</div> <div>☐ AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO</div>	
<div>COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2</div> <div>Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>		<div>STATE QUESTION NO. 847 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 381</div> <div>This measure amends article 10, sections 8B and 8C of the Oklahoma Constitution. Currently, section 8B limits annual growth in fair cash value to 5% for most real property or 3% for homesteads and agricultural land. If approved, the measure would limit annual growth in fair cash value for tax year 2027 and after to 4% for most real property or 1.75% for homesteads and agricultural land. Currently, section 8C prohibits any increase in fair cash value of homestead property for persons age sixty-five (65) or older whose income does not exceed certain limits, sometimes referred to as the senior freeze. Instead of prohibiting any increase in fair cash value for these homesteads, there would be an annual limit of 1.75%. The measure eliminates the income cap on gross household income to qualify for the senior freeze. If approved, the annual limit on an increase in fair cash value would be tied to how much gross household income the homestead owner had in the preceding year. If a homeowner's gross household income from the previous year was at or below the median income for their county or area (as determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's estimated median income amount for the county or metropolitan statistical area), a homeowner's homestead fair cash value cannot be increased. If the income amount was in excess of certain thresholds, the limit on increases in fair cash value for homesteads owned by persons age 65 or older could be 0.35% (income over 100% up to 120%); 0.7% (income over 120% up to 140%); 1.05% (income over 140% up to 160%); 1.4% (income over 160% up to 180%); or 1.75% (income over 180%). If passed, this measure would have a fiscal impact on the state, but the exact impact is unknown.</div> <div>☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES</div> <div>☐ AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO</div>	
<div>COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1</div> <div>Shall JAMES R. HUBER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>		<div>STATE QUESTION NO. 847 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 381</div> <div>This measure amends article 10, sections 8B and 8C of the Oklahoma Constitution. Currently, section 8B limits annual growth in fair cash value to 5% for most real property or 3% for homesteads and agricultural land. If approved, the measure would limit annual growth in fair cash value for tax year 2027 and after to 4% for most real property or 1.75% for homesteads and agricultural land. Currently, section 8C prohibits any increase in fair cash value of homestead property for persons age sixty-five (65) or older whose income does not exceed certain limits, sometimes referred to as the senior freeze. Instead of prohibiting any increase in fair cash value for these homesteads, there would be an annual limit of 1.75%. The measure eliminates the income cap on gross household income to qualify for the senior freeze. If approved, the annual limit on an increase in fair cash value would be tied to how much gross household income the homestead owner had in the preceding year. If a homeowner's gross household income from the previous year was at or below the median income for their county or area (as determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's estimated median income amount for the county or metropolitan statistical area), a homeowner's homestead fair cash value cannot be increased. If the income amount was in excess of certain thresholds, the limit on increases in fair cash value for homesteads owned by persons age 65 or older could be 0.35% (income over 100% up to 120%); 0.7% (income over 120% up to 140%); 1.05% (income over 140% up to 160%); 1.4% (income over 160% up to 180%); or 1.75% (income over 180%). If passed, this measure would have a fiscal impact on the state, but the exact impact is unknown.</div> <div>☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES</div> <div>☐ AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO</div>	
<div>COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2</div> <div>Shall JAMES R. HUBER of the OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in office?</div> <div>☐ YES</div> <div>☐ NO</div>		<div>STATE QUESTION NO. 847 LEGISLATIVE REFERENDUM NO. 381</div> <div>This measure amends article 10, sections 8B and 8C of the Oklahoma Constitution. Currently, section 8B limits annual growth in fair cash value to 5% for most real property or 3% for homesteads and agricultural land. If approved, the measure would limit annual growth in fair cash value for tax year 2027 and after to 4% for most real property or 1.75% for homesteads and agricultural land. Currently, section 8C prohibits any increase in fair cash value of homestead property for persons age sixty-five (65) or older whose income does not exceed certain limits, sometimes referred to as the senior freeze. Instead of prohibiting any increase in fair cash value for these homesteads, there would be an annual limit of 1.75%. The measure eliminates the income cap on gross household income to qualify for the senior freeze. If approved, the annual limit on an increase in fair cash value would be tied to how much gross household income the homestead owner had in the preceding year. If a homeowner's gross household income from the previous year was at or below the median income for their county or area (as determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's estimated median income amount for the county or metropolitan statistical area), a homeowner's homestead fair cash value cannot be increased. If the income amount was in excess of certain thresholds, the limit on increases in fair cash value for homesteads owned by persons age 65 or older could be 0.35% (income over 100% up to 120%); 0.7% (income over 120% up to 140%); 1.05% (income over 140% up to 160%); 1.4% (income over 160% up to 180%); or 1.75% (income over 180%). If passed, this measure would have a fiscal impact on the state, but the exact impact is unknown.</div> <div>☐ FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES</div> <div>☐ AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO</div>	